# POPULISM AND POWER: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BRAZIL AND HUNGARY'S POLITICAL TRAJECTORIES (2010–2023)

#### Assignment Type:

Comparative Politics Essay / Democratic Backsliding Report

#### **Objective:**

To analyze how populist leadership in Brazil and Hungary influenced democratic institutions, media independence, civil rights, and public policy. The case study enables students to compare two different political systems—presidential and parliamentary—under the influence of right-wing populism.

### 1. Country Backgrounds

Country	Leader (Term)	Political Party	Regime Type
Brazil	Jair Bolsonaro (2019–2022)	Social Liberal Party	Presidential Republic
Hungary	Viktor Orbán (2010–Present)	Fidesz	Parliamentary Democracy

# 2. What Is Populism?

Populism is often defined as a political approach that pits "the pure people" against "the corrupt elite," emphasizing nationalist values, anti-elitism, and strong executive leadership.

# 3. Key Research Questions

- How did populist governments reshape public institutions and judiciary independence?
- What was the impact on civil liberties and media pluralism?
- How did both leaders respond to crises (e.g., COVID-19, immigration)?
- Were there any attempts at constitutional restructuring?

# 4. Institutional Impact Matrix

Dimension	Brazil	Hungary
Judiciary	Undermined via rhetoric and	Packed with loyalists;
Independence	budget cuts	weakened checks
Media Freedom	Hostile to journalists; rise in	State control over major
	misinformation	outlets
Civil Society	Attacked through verbal	NGOs restricted via legal
	delegitimization	hurdles
Electoral Integrity	Questioned 2022 election	Gerrymandering, election law
	results	changes

#### 5. Democratic Indices Comparison

Index	Brazil (2022)	Hungary (2022)
Freedom House Score (100)	72	65
Democracy Index (EIU) (10)	6.9	6.5
Press Freedom Rank (RSF)	92	85

# 6. Visuals and Graphs

- Figure 1: Timeline of institutional changes under each leader
- Figure 2: Freedom House scores (2010–2022) trend line
- Figure 3: Budget allocation to public broadcasters and judicial bodies
- Figure 4: Bar chart of public trust in media over time

# 7. Crisis Management Comparison

Crisis	Bolsonaro (Brazil)	Orbán (Hungary)
COVID-19	Denialist rhetoric, delayed vaccine rollout	Centralized emergency powers

Immigration	Not a major issue	Anti-refugee laws, border fencing
Civil	Police suppression, anti-LGBTQ	Limited protests, controlled
Protests	statements	dissent

#### 8. Theoretical Frameworks

- Cas Mudde's Thin-Centered Populism: Both leaders used cultural conservatism to consolidate power
- Levitsky & Ziblatt (How Democracies Die): Institutions hollowed from within
- Fareed Zakaria's Illiberal Democracy: Electoral success + democratic erosion

### 9. Evaluation Summary

Impact Category	Observations
Institutional Erosion	Evident in judiciary and civil liberties
Media Suppression	State propaganda and intimidation of dissenting voices
Political Polarization	Increased distrust in electoral systems and science
International Response	Brazil faced climate pressure; Hungary faced EU legal action

# 10. Strategic Takeaways for Students

- Populism is not inherently anti-democratic but can erode democracy from within
- Evaluate the formal vs. informal power structures
- Analyze crisis responses to understand leadership legitimacy
- Learn how media and judiciary independence serve as bulwarks of democracy

# 11. Student Deliverables

- Comparative essay (3,000–3,500 words) with citations
- Institutional matrix in Excel / table format

- Visual slides with indicators and graphs
- Annotated reading list of key political theory authors
- Optional interview excerpts (translated press sources)

https://yamcoeducation.com/